

REGISTER OF CLASSIFICATION DECISIONS

Date of entry in Register: 18 November 2002
Name of applicant/court: The District Court at Lower Hutt
Applicant to the Labelling Body: Not Applicable
Title of Publication: Untitled aka Various / Watersports
Other Known Title(s): Not Stated
Director(s): Not Stated
Producer(s): Not Stated
Publisher: Not Applicable
Author(s): Not Applicable
Format: Video Recording VHS/PAL
Country of Origin: Not Stated
Language(s): English, German, French

.....
Components of film originally examined:

Feature:	Untitled aka Various / Watersports	Running time:	376.26
Trailers:	Nil	Running time:	
		Total Running time:	376.26

Excision/Alteration: Not Applicable.

Reason(s) for Excision:

Not Applicable.

... Please turn over

Classification Decision:

Objectionable.

Display Conditions:

Not Applicable.

Descriptive Note:

Not Applicable.

.....

Direction to issue a label has been given on: Not Applicable.

.....

SUMMARY OF THE REASONS FOR DECISION:

The untitled video recording known as *Various / Watersports* is classified as:
Objectionable.

The video recording is a compilation of material either copied from sexually explicit publications or recorded from television. The title by which the publication is known is hand-written on the publication itself.

The publication has been considered under ss3(2)(d) and 3(2)(e) of the Films, Videos, and Publications Classification Act 1993. Among the video recording's numerous components are four that depict men and women engaged in a variety of sexual acts with a dominant focus on the use of urine in association with sexual conduct. In addition, three scenes depict people engaging in sexual activity with animals. The content of the video recording is intended for the purposes of sexual arousal and titillation. It therefore promotes and supports the use of urine in association with sexual conduct and promotes and supports bestiality.

The classification of this publication interferes with the freedom of expression, but this is an outcome that is consistent with Parliament's intention that publications falling under s3(2) are deemed to be objectionable.

OFLC Ref: 201580